

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,472	08/21/2003	Jamie Wakeam	003797.00618	8481
28319 BANNER & W	7590 09/05/2007 VITCOFF, LTD.	EXAMINER		
ATTORNEYS	FOR CLIENT NOS. 00	DAYE, CHELCIE L		
1100 13th STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-4051			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2161	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/646,472	WAKEAM ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Chelcie Daye	2161	

	1		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chelcie Daye	2161	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 August 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	•
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	~		dahara ia latan da
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI '06.07(f).	g date of the final reject E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ion. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exampler 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ctension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig tr than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(a) X They raise new issues that would require further co	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	jected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.	116 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	I21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>7-12 and 19-30</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>ne</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a (1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			b
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application I	in condition for allowa	ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13.		APU MOFIZ SORY PATENT EXA	MINER
	SUPERIVIS	OHA LV	

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added amendments raise new issues such as:

"second data structure creates a discretionary collision", "determining whether the discretionary collision is forbidden for collision criteria", "when the discretionary collision is not forbidden by the collision criteria, making the change to the corresponding node in the first data structure", and "when the discretionary collision is forbidden by the collision, preventing the change from occurring, wherein the collision criteria prohibits ink strokes from being removed from a leaf node below a pinned node", along with a multitude of other amendments made to claims 10-12,19,22-25, and 28-30, which would require further search and consideration by the examiner.